

УДК 340.1:001.8

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33098/3083-726X.2026.1.33.48-55>

METHODOLOGY OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN LAW ENFORCEMENT

Roman Lutskyi*

**Doctor in Law, Associate Professor, Director of the Research Institute, King Danylo University (Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine) ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9558-3699>*

The article is devoted to understanding the methodology of scientific research in the field of law enforcement as a system of principles, approaches, methods, and research procedures that ensure objective knowledge of law enforcement phenomena, the functioning of law enforcement agencies, and mechanisms for ensuring law and order. In this context, methodology is considered not only as a set of technical research tools, but as a holistic theoretical and cognitive construct that determines the logic of scientific research, methods of interpreting empirical data, and criteria for the scientific validity of conclusions. Its analysis in a general theoretical dimension allows us to reveal the nature and structure of scientific knowledge in the field of law enforcement, outline its place in the system of legal science, and determine its significance for improving law enforcement practices in the context of modern transformations.

The issues of content and structure of research methodology in the law enforcement sphere are becoming particularly relevant in connection with the complication of the crime situation, the development of new forms of offenses, and the growing demands for the effectiveness of law enforcement agencies. Scientific understanding of these processes requires the use of interdisciplinary approaches, a combination of general scientific and specialized legal methods, as well as critical analysis of law enforcement practice. It is the methodology that determines the researcher's tools: from the choice of the object and subject of research to the formulation of hypotheses, the selection of methods of analysis, and the generalization of results. It serves as a conceptual basis that allows avoiding superficiality, one-sidedness, and methodological errors in scientific conclusions.

A properly structured research methodology ensures the systematicity, logical consistency, and evidential value of scientific results, which is of fundamental importance for the formation of sound recommendations for improving law enforcement activities. It contributes to raising the level of scientific reflection on the practice of applying legal norms, allows for assessing the effectiveness of legal mechanisms for combating offences, and also for adapting national approaches to international standards in the field of security and human rights protection.

The methodology of scientific research in law enforcement cannot be viewed merely as a set of formalized procedures, techniques, or standard methods of scientific inquiry. In fact, it serves as the fundamental basis for the formation and development of legal science in the field of law enforcement, determining the logic, tools, and direction of the research process. It is thanks to a properly formed methodological basis that scientific research acquires systematicity, scientific validity, and internal consistency.

The methodology provides an opportunity for in-depth and comprehensive understanding of complex legal phenomena arising in the sphere of law enforcement, crime prevention, and protection of human and civil rights and freedoms. It contributes to the formation of reliable scientific conclusions based not only on empirical observations, but also on the comprehensive use of general scientific, special legal, and interdisciplinary research methods. Thanks to this, scientific knowledge in the field of law enforcement becomes more profound, systematic, and capable of explaining the patterns of functioning of legal institutions and law enforcement mechanisms.

At the same time, scientific research methodology performs an important practical function. It creates an intellectual basis for developing effective recommendations for improving the activities of law enforcement agencies and optimizing mechanisms for ensuring legality, law and order, and public safety. Scientifically sound research results allow for the formation of theoretically balanced and practically significant decisions that can be used in rule-making, law enforcement management, and law enforcement practice.

In today's world, where law enforcement systems face new threats – such as the rise of transnational crime, cybercrime, and information warfare, as well as challenges related to martial law and security transformations within the state – the importance of scientific research methodology is growing significantly. It is precisely the methodological sophistication of research that enables legal science to respond quickly to these challenges and propose adequate theoretical models and practical mechanisms for overcoming them.

Thus, the methodology of scientific research in law enforcement appears as a key factor in the development of legal science and improving the effectiveness of law enforcement practice. It not only determines the quality of scientific knowledge, but also shapes the intellectual potential of the law enforcement system, contributing to its ability to ensure an adequate level of law and order, protection of human rights, and stability of the state's legal system.

Key words: *research methodology, law enforcement, research methodology as an academic discipline, lawmaking, law enforcement, law, legislation, academic discipline.*

© Луцький Р., 2026

Стаття поширюється на умовах ліцензії CC BY 4.0

Луцький Р. Методологія наукових досліджень у правоохоронній діяльності. *Art of Justice*. Редакційно-видавничий відділ Університету Короля Данила, 2026. Вип. 1 (33). С. 48-55. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33098/3083-726X.2026.1.33.48-55>

Formulation of the problem

Legal science has developed as a result of systematic scientific activity aimed at understanding the phenomena of law and the state in all their complexity and multidimensionality. It encompasses both the theoretical level of understanding the patterns of emergence, functioning, and development of state and legal institutions, as well as the applied dimension related to the study of specific mechanisms of legal regulation, forms of organization of state power, and the practice of implementing legal norms. In this sense, legal science is not limited to abstract generalizations, but seeks to explain the real processes taking place in society and to influence their regulation through the improvement of legal means.

The peculiarity of legal science lies in its close connection with practice. It is designed not only to interpret current legislation or analyze law enforcement activities, but also to form the conceptual foundations for the development of the legal system, offer scientifically sound recommendations for improving regulatory and legal acts, increasing the effectiveness of state authorities, and ensuring an adequate level of law and order. That is why legal research has a distinctly applied nature: its results must be suitable for use in lawmaking, judicial practice, law enforcement, and the legal education system.

At the same time, legal knowledge is characterized by a high level of logical structure. It is built on the basis of clearly defined concepts, categories, and legal constructs that are interrelated and form a coherent system. Such formalization and conceptual precision are determined by the specificity of law itself as a normative regulator of social relations. Legal science has to use categories that are unambiguous, consistent, and suitable for practical application. This is what ensures its scientific certainty, the soundness of its conclusions, and the possibility of verifying and using them in law-making and law enforcement activities.

At the same time, jurisprudence appears as a complex, multi-level, and internally differentiated system of scientific knowledge covering various directions, schools, fields, and forms of research activity. It is not limited to the interpretation of legal norms or the analysis of legislation, but includes the development of fundamental theories, the formulation of scientific laws and principles, the advancement of hypotheses, the consideration of problematic aspects of legal development, and the development of appropriate methodological tools. Its content consists of interrelated concepts and categories, concepts and doctrines, scientific facts and generalizations, which together form a complete picture of legal reality.

The complexity of the object of cognition – law and the state as socially conditioned, historically changing, and value-laden phenomena – necessitates the constant improvement of legal science methodology. Legal reality is in a state of constant transformation under the influence of political, economic, cultural, and technological changes. Therefore, scientific approaches that were sufficient at a certain stage of society's development need to be revised, refined, or supplemented with new research methods over time. The emergence of modern interdisciplinary analysis strategies, the active use of empirical data, statistical methods, sociological surveys, psychological and political interpretations testify to the desire of jurisprudence to adequately reflect the multidimensionality of legal reality.

The requirement for comprehensiveness, objectivity, and scientific reliability of legal research results necessitates the comprehensive application of various methods in their interconnection and complementarity. This involves the harmonious combination of traditional formal-legal, dogmatic, and systemic-structural approaches to jurisprudence with the latest sociological, philosophical, political, and psychological tools of analysis. It is also important to ensure an appropriate balance between theoretical generalizations and empirical observations, between the normative and social dimensions of law. Only under such conditions can a complete and adequate understanding of legal phenomena be achieved.

Therefore, the development of modern jurisprudence is impossible without constant improvement of its methodological foundations. This is what determines the relevance of studying the peculiarities of organizing and conducting scientific research in the field of jurisprudence, since the quality of scientific conclusions and their practical significance for the legal system as a whole depends on the level of methodological culture of the researcher.

Analysis of recent research and publications

Recently, more and more scientific research in the field of jurisprudence has been devoted to issues of methodology and organization of scientific activity. In domestic legal science, the problem of methodology has been studied by such scholars as Yu. Barabash, Yu. Bisaga, S. Bostan, O. Duftniuk, M. Kelman, V. Kovalchuk, M. Kozubra, O. Skakun, O. Skrypnyuk, S. Slyvka, P. Rabinovitch, R. Lukich, I. Fedochik and others. A number of scholars have studied the peculiarities of conducting scientific research in the field of criminology, economic crime, and state-legal phenomena [5, 4, 1, 3]. In particular, the types and functions of scientific research were studied by such scholars as V. B. Biedna, O. V. Kustovska, Yu. P. Loboda, O. F. Skakun, G. S. Tsekhmistrova, and others.

The purpose of this article is to comprehensive analysis of the characteristics of the use of modern methods and research techniques in the scientific and legal sphere, clarification of their theoretical basis, functional purpose, and practical effectiveness. The study aims to reveal the specifics of combining traditional formal legal approaches with the latest interdisciplinary tools of cognition, determine their place in the methodology of legal science, and outline the criteria for their appropriate application depending on the subject and objectives of a particular study. Particular attention is paid to assessing the ability of modern methods to ensure the objectivity, consistency, and evidential value of scientific results, as well as their ability to contribute to a deeper understanding of legal reality in the context of social transformations.

Presentation of the main material of the study

Legal science, as part of the social sciences, focuses on the study of social relations that arise between individuals, social groups, organizations, and state institutions. Its object is not only formal legal norms, but also the living legal reality – the interaction between the individual and the state, mechanisms for regulating behavior, and ways of ensuring justice and law and order. That is why jurisprudence has a distinct humanistic orientation: it is designed to serve people, protect their dignity, rights, and legitimate interests.

The main task of legal science is to promote the harmonious development of the individual through the improvement of state and legal institutions. It should help to identify and remove legal, organizational, and social barriers that hinder the full realization of human and civil rights and freedoms. This involves creating regulatory and institutional conditions under which human

potential can be realized as effectively as possible and state governance mechanisms can operate in the interests of society. In this context, legal science is not only a tool for analyzing current legislation, but also a factor in its improvement, aimed at ensuring the rule of law and social justice.

At the same time, scientific legal research has its own subject specificity, determined by the normative nature of law and the peculiarities of state-legal phenomena. It is based on the relevant methodology, conceptual apparatus, and system of evidence, but in general terms it goes through the same stages as any other scientific research: defining the problem, formulating goals and objectives, selecting methods, collecting and analyzing material, summarizing results, and formulating conclusions. Thus, legal research is the main form of obtaining scientific knowledge about the state and law, which is carried out according to a clearly defined procedure and is aimed at producing theoretically sound and practically significant results.

Before embarking on scientific research – regardless of its level, scale, or field – young scientists need to thoroughly familiarize themselves with the basics of methodology and specific methods of scientific work. It is methodological training that forms the intellectual foundation of a researcher, allowing them to avoid randomness in choosing a topic, superficiality in formulating a problem, and methodological errors in the process of analyzing material. Scientific activity cannot be based solely on intuition or interest in a particular topic – it requires clear logic, internal consistency, and justification for each step.

The ability to correctly choose a scientific problem and specify it in the form of a research topic is of particular importance. This stage involves not only determining the relevance and scientific novelty, but also analyzing the state of development of the issue, clarifying existing approaches and contradictions in the doctrine. Equally important are the skills of collecting, systematizing, and critically analyzing facts, as well as researching the history of the formation and development of the relevant issues. At the heart of any scientific work lies the researcher's idea – a specific idea that determines the direction of the search, the structure of the research, and the logic of the presentation of the material.

A deep and comprehensive study of any subject of knowledge – its essence, characteristics, structure, functional characteristics, and dynamics of development – requires the application of a whole range of methods. A single method, as a rule, is not capable of ensuring the completeness and objectivity of scientific results. That is why a researcher must possess a variety of methodological tools and be able to combine them depending on the tasks at hand.

The set of approaches, principles, methods, and techniques of scientific knowledge, as well as the theoretical foundations for their use in the study of state and legal phenomena, form the methodology of legal science. It defines the overall strategy of scientific research, ensures the systematic and logical nature of the study, and is a necessary condition for obtaining reliable and practically significant results.

One of the key tasks and, at the same time, professional duties of every scientist is to adhere to the principle of objectivity when researching state and legal phenomena and processes. This refers to the researcher's ability to remain impartial, refrain from ideological biases, personal likes or dislikes, as well as preconceived judgments that may influence the results of scientific research. Objectivity in scientific knowledge means striving to reproduce a phenomenon as it exists in reality, taking into account both its positive and problematic or controversial aspects. Scientific research should not embellish reality or conceal its shortcomings, nor should it exaggerate negative features or attribute properties to phenomena that they do not actually possess.

Adherence to the principle of objectivity creates the preconditions for a deep understanding of the essence of state-legal phenomena, understanding their nature, causes, and development

trends. Only through unbiased analysis is it possible to establish the prerequisites for the formation of a particular legal institution, identify its functional features, and determine its forms of manifestation both in modern Ukraine and in foreign legal systems. Objectivity ensures the reliability of conclusions and increases their scientific value, which is especially important in the field of law, where research results can have practical implications for law-making and law enforcement activities.

At the same time, the principle of objectivity is inextricably linked to the principle of comprehensiveness of research. The latter involves considering legal phenomena not in isolation, but in the context of their connections and interactions with other social, political, economic, and cultural processes. Law as a social regulator does not exist outside society, therefore its full understanding is possible only through analysis within the broader system of social relations.

The multifaceted nature of any legal phenomenon necessitates the application of a systematic approach. Within the framework of such an approach, researchers can use various modifications of it – structural-functional, which allows them to determine the internal structure and functions of the elements of the legal system; system-activity, which focuses on the practical implementation of norms and the role of legal subjects; system-genetic, aimed at studying the historical preconditions and evolution of legal phenomena. The combination of these approaches provides a holistic view of legal reality and contributes to the formation of profound and scientifically sound conclusions.

When outlining the significance of the methodological approach within the framework of a specific scientific study, it is more appropriate to talk not so much about its decisive influence on the very direction of movement towards truth, but rather about the formation of a certain perspective on the subject of cognition. Methodology does not dictate to the researcher the only possible path to the result, but rather sets the optics through which the phenomenon under study is viewed, determines the logic of its interpretation, and the coordinate system within which the analysis is carried out.

This approach is based on established axiomatic propositions regarding the nature of scientific knowledge. Research always has a certain direction, determined by the initial theoretical assumptions, chosen principles, and value orientations of the researcher. At the same time, the path to knowledge is not a mechanically determined set of steps, but rather a complex process of comprehension in which methodology serves as an intellectual guide. It helps to structure the material, define the boundaries of analysis, set priorities, and avoid chaos in conclusions.

The methodological approach should be understood as a tool for forming a scientific perspective that influences the way a problem is viewed and interpreted, rather than as a rigid algorithm that automatically determines the truth. It is through this prism that the integrity of the research and its conceptual clarity are ensured [2, c. 58].

In addition to proper methodological training, conducting modern scientific research requires reliance on the appropriate culture of thinking and the use of relevant intellectual technologies for scientific research. This refers to the researcher's ability to work within the latest conceptual models, critically reflect on existing approaches, and integrate various theoretical tools depending on the complexity of the object of knowledge. In the social sciences, particularly in law, this is of particular importance, as the processes under study are multidimensional, dynamic, and closely linked to human activity.

One of the most productive areas of analysis of social processes and systems is considered to be the organizational approach, or organizational theory. Within its framework, social phenomena are viewed as complex systems with their own structure, functions, mechanisms of

self-regulation, and development. This approach allows us to study law not only as a set of norms, but as an integral social system that interacts with other subsystems of society. At the same time, the organizational approach blends well with non-classical and post-classical paradigms of scientific development, which emphasize the openness of systems, their non-linearity, multi-variant development, and dependence on value and cultural factors.

In modern jurisprudence, this means the need to go beyond exclusively classical, formal-dogmatic analysis. The main task of the researcher is to combine the achievements of classical legal methodology with the tools of non-classical and post-classical science. Such a synthesis requires taking into account the socio-cultural context, the role of the subject of cognition, interdisciplinary connections, and the complex nature of legal reality.

At the same time, this path is not easy. It requires solving a number of complex theoretical and practical tasks: coordinating different methodological levels of analysis, defining the limits of application of new approaches, overcoming the inertia of traditional thinking, and developing a new methodological culture in legal science. However, it is precisely this integrative approach that opens up opportunities for a deeper and more adequate understanding of contemporary state and legal processes.

Conclusions

At the current stage of development of legal science, methodology plays a much broader role than simply being a tool for clarifying the differences between the object and subject of research. It acts as a driving force for the renewal of jurisprudence, contributes to the formation of new scientific knowledge, and opens up opportunities for a deeper understanding of legal reality. It is thanks to the use of modern conceptual ideas, well-thought-out methodological approaches, and a variety of tools that legal science is able to respond to the challenges of the times and integrate the achievements of related fields of knowledge. It is important that the methodological basis of the research corresponds to the current level of scientific development and takes into account the latest theoretical developments and trends in interdisciplinary interaction.

In the process of conducting scientific research in the field of jurisprudence, it is necessary to comprehensively apply various methods of cognition. No single method can ensure the completeness and objectivity of the analysis of complex state-legal phenomena. Therefore, the researcher must not only select the appropriate methods, but also determine the logic of their use, sequence, and interaction. The combination of methods must be conscious and purposeful, forming an orderly system of actions aimed at achieving scientific results.

It is precisely this orderly, logically structured, and methodologically sound set of steps that constitutes the essence of research activity. It involves clear planning, consistent analysis of material, critical reflection on the results obtained, and the formulation of well-founded conclusions. Thus, methodology in modern jurisprudence appears not only as the theoretical foundation of science, but also as a practical mechanism for organizing scientific research, ensuring its effectiveness and scientific value.

Prospects for further scientific research

It is important to clearly distinguish between relevant concepts in the field of scientific activity. The methodology of scientific research in law enforcement is a system of principles, approaches, and conceptual guidelines that determine the general logic of understanding law enforcement processes, mechanisms for ensuring law and order, and the functioning of relevant institutions. It forms the theoretical basis of the research, outlines its boundaries, determines the way of viewing the problem, and directs the intellectual search of the researcher.

At the same time, methods and research procedures are practical tools for implementing this methodology. They include specific ways of collecting, analyzing, and interpreting information, modeling law enforcement processes, evaluating the effectiveness of law enforcement agencies, and summarizing empirical data. If the methodology sets the conceptual framework for the study, the methods ensure its practical implementation in the form of sequential research actions.

Thus, the effectiveness of scientific research in the field of law enforcement is achieved through a harmonious combination of methodological principles and specific research methods. A conscious choice and rational combination of research approaches allows for obtaining objective, logically verified, and practically significant results. The use of a specific methodological strategy does not mean the mechanical application of individual methods, but their systematic combination for the purpose of in-depth and comprehensive analysis of law enforcement practices and the development of sound recommendations for their improvement.

Список використаних джерел

1. Журавель В. А. Окремі методики в системі криміналістичних знань. *Науковий вісник Львівської комерційної академії. Серія «Юридична»*. 2015. Вип. 1. С. 358-366.
2. Сердюк І. А. Інтерпретація поняття «методологічний підхід» у сучасній правничій науці. *Науковий вісник Дніпропетровського державного університету внутрішніх справ*. 2018. № 4. С. 52-58
3. Сибірна Р. І., Сибірний А. В., Хомів О. В. Місце судової експертизи у господарському процесі. *Науковий вісник НУ «Львівська політехніка»*. Серія «Юридичні науки». 2017. № 865. С. 502-506.
4. Хомів О. В. Особливості проведення науково-економічних досліджень. *Ефективна економіка*. 2015. № 5. URL : <http://www.economy.nayka.com>
5. Щур Б. В. Функції окремих криміналістичних методик. *Науковий вісник Чернівецького університету. Серія «Правознавство»*. 2011. Вип. 578. С. 231-242.

References

1. Zhuravel, V. A. (2015) Okremi metodyky v systemi kryminalistychnykh znan' [Individual techniques in the system of forensic knowledge]. *Naukovyj visnyk L'viv's'koi komertsijnoi akademii. Serii «Yurydychna»*, 1, 358-366 [in Ukrainian]
2. Serdiuk, I. A. (2018) Interpretatsiia poniattia «metodolohichnyj pidkhid» u suchasnij pravnychij nauksi [Interpretation of the concept of «methodological approach» in modern legal science]. *Naukovyj visnyk Dnipropetrovs'koho derzhavnoho universytetu vnutrishnikh sprav*, 4, 52-58 [in Ukrainian]
3. Sybirna, R. I., Sybirnyj A. V., Khomiv O. V. (2017) Mistse sudovoi ekspertyzy u hospodars'komu protsesi [The place of forensic examination in commercial proceedings]. *Naukovyj visnyk NU «L'viv's'ka politehnika»*. Serii iurydychni nauky, 865, 502-506 [in Ukrainian]
4. Khomiv, O. V. (2015) Osoblyvosti provedennia naukovo-ekonomichnykh doslidzhen' [Features of conducting scientific and economic research]. *Efektivna ekonomika*, 5. Elektronne fakhove vydannia. URL: <http://www.economy.nayka.com> [in Ukrainian]
6. Schur, B. V. (2011) Funktsii okremykh kryminalistychnykh metodyk [Functions of individual forensic techniques]. *Naukovyj visnyk Chernivets'koho universytetu. Serii «Pravoznavstvo»*, 578, 231-242 [in Ukrainian]

МЕТОДОЛОГІЯ НАУКОВИХ ДОСЛІДЖЕНЬ У ПРАВООХОРОННІЙ ДІЯЛЬНОСТІ

Луцький Роман*

**доктор юридичних наук, професор, директор Науково-дослідного інституту імені Академіка Івана Луцького, ЗВО «Університет Короля Данила» (м. Івано-Франківськ, Україна)*

Стаття присвячена осмисленню методології наукових досліджень у сфері правоохоронної діяльності як системи принципів, підходів, методів і дослідницьких процедур, що забезпечують об'єктивне пізнання явищ правозастосування, функціонування правоохоронних органів та механізмів забезпечення

правопорядку. Методологія у цьому контексті розглядається не лише як сукупність технічних інструментів дослідження, а як цілісна теоретико-пізнавальна конструкція, що визначає логіку наукового пошуку, способи інтерпретації емпіричних даних та критерії наукової обґрунтованості висновків. Її аналіз у загальнотеоретичному вимірі дозволяє розкрити природу її структури наукового пізнання в правоохоронній сфері, окреслити її місце в системі юридичної науки, а також визначити значення для вдосконалення практики правоохоронної діяльності в умовах сучасних трансформацій.

Проблематика змісту та структури методології досліджень у правоохоронній сфері набуває особливої актуальності у зв'язку з ускладненням криміногенної ситуації, розвитком новітніх форм правопорушень і зростанням вимог до ефективності діяльності правоохоронних органів. Наукове осмислення цих процесів потребує використання міждисциплінарних підходів, поєднання загальнонаукових і спеціально-юридичних методів, а також критичного аналізу правозастосовної практики. Саме методологія визначає інструментарій дослідника: від вибору об'єкта й предмета дослідження до формулювання гіпотез, добору методів аналізу та узагальнення результатів. Вона виступає концептуальною основою, що дозволяє уникати поверховості, однобічності й методологічних помилок у наукових висновках.

Належно побудована методологія дослідження забезпечує системність, логічну послідовність і доказовість наукових результатів, що має принципове значення для формування обґрунтованих рекомендацій щодо вдосконалення правоохоронної діяльності. Вона сприяє підвищенню рівня наукової рефлексії щодо практики застосування норм права, дозволяє оцінити ефективність правових механізмів протидії правопорушенням, а також адаптувати національні підходи до міжнародних стандартів у сфері забезпечення безпеки та захисту прав людини.

Методологія наукових досліджень у правоохоронній діяльності не може розглядатися лише як сукупність формалізованих процедур, технік чи стандартних прийомів наукового пошуку. Насправді вона виступає фундаментальною основою формування та розвитку юридичної науки у сфері правоохоронної діяльності, визначаючи логіку, інструментарій і напрям дослідницького процесу. Саме завдяки належно сформованій методологічній базі наукові дослідження набувають системності, наукової обґрунтованості та внутрішньої узгодженості.

Методологія забезпечує можливість глибокого й всебічного пізнання складних правових явищ, що виникають у сфері забезпечення правопорядку, боротьби зі злочинністю, захисту прав і свобод людини та громадянина. Вона сприяє формуванню достовірних наукових висновків, які ґрунтуються не лише на емпіричних спостереженнях, а й на комплексному використанні загальнонаукових, спеціально-юридичних і міждисциплінарних методів дослідження. Завдяки цьому наукове знання у сфері правоохоронної діяльності стає більш глибоким, системним і здатним пояснювати закономірності функціонування правових інститутів та правоохоронних механізмів.

Водночас методологія наукових досліджень виконує важливу практичну функцію. Вона створює інтелектуальне підґрунтя для розроблення ефективних рекомендацій щодо вдосконалення діяльності правоохоронних органів, оптимізації механізмів забезпечення законності, правопорядку та публічної безпеки. Науково обґрунтовані результати досліджень дозволяють формувати теоретично виважені та практично значущі рішення, які можуть бути використані у нормотворчій діяльності, управлінні правоохоронними інституціями та практиці правозастосування.

У сучасних умовах, коли правоохоронна система стикається з новими загрозами – зростанням транснаціональної злочинності, кіберзлочинів, інформаційних впливів, а також викликами, пов'язаними з воєнним станом і безпековими трансформаціями держави, – значення методології наукових досліджень суттєво зростає. Саме методологічна продуманість досліджень забезпечує здатність юридичної науки оперативно реагувати на ці виклики, пропонувати адекватні теоретичні моделі та практичні механізми їх подолання.

Таким чином, методологія наукових досліджень у правоохоронній діяльності постає як ключовий чинник розвитку юридичної науки та підвищення ефективності правоохоронної практики. Вона не лише визначає якість наукового пізнання, але й формує інтелектуальний потенціал системи правоохоронних органів, сприяючи їхній здатності забезпечувати належний рівень правопорядку, захист прав людини та стабільність правової системи держави.

Ключові слова: методологія наукових досліджень, правоохоронна діяльність, методологія наукових досліджень як навчальна дисципліна, правотворчість, правозастосовна діяльність, закон, законодавство, навчальна дисципліна.

Дата першого надходження статті до видання: 19.06.2026
Дата прийняття статті до друку після рецензування: 06.03.2026
Дата публікації: 29.06.2026